

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/674,971 09/30/2003 Gary K. Michelson 101.0059-02000 4939 22882 08/12/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP WILLSE, DAVID H 1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT HARTVILLE, OH 44632 3738

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			\mathcal{A}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9 -
	10/674,971	MICHELSON, GARY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dave Willse	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 S	September 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		~
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		3 · · · · (-) · · · (-)	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		·	је
application from the International Burea	_ •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \prod Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 3738

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

General Implant Shape

Species I: Figure 6B;

Species II: Figure 10;

Species III: Figure 15A;

Species IV: Figure 15B;

Species V: Figure 16A.

Specific Implant Design

Species A: Figures 6C, 7A, 7B;

Species B: Figures 8, 9;

Species C: Figure 12A;

Species D: Figures 12B-12D;

Species E: Figures 13A, 13B;

Species F: Figures 14A, 14B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species pair, one from *each* of the above two groupings (for example, Species I *and* Species A) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species pair that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that

Application/Control Number: 10/674,971

Art Unit: 3738

a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species (MPEP § 809.02(a)).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19, drawn to a spinal fusion implant, classified in class 623, subclass 17.11.
- II. Claims 20-49, drawn to a method of inserting an implant into a disc space, classified in class 623, subclass 17.11.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

Art Unit: 3738

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used with a materially different product such as an implant not having any openings.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and because the required searches are divergent, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and often on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3738